arXiv:quant-ph/0208089v1 14 Aug 2002

Separability of rank two quantum states on multiple quantum spaces

Shao-Ming Fei[1, 2], Xiu-Hong Gao[1], Xiao-Hong Wang[1], Zhi-Xi Wang[1], and Ke Wu[1]

[1] *Department of Mathematics, Capital Normal University, Beijing, China.*

[2] *Institute of Applied Mathematics, University of Bonn, 53115 Bonn Germany*

Abstract Explicit sufficient and necessary conditions for separability of N-dimensional rank two multiparty quantum mixed states are presented. A nonseparability inequality is also given, for the case where one of the eigenvectors corresponding to nonzero eigenvalues of the density matrix is maximally entangled.

PACS: 03.65.Bz;89.70.+c

Quantum entanglement is playing an essential role in quantum information processing (cf. [1, 2, 3]). The separability of pure states for bipartite systems is quite well understood (cf. [4]). For mixed states, some progress has been achieved in understanding the separability and entanglement problem for bipartite systems (cf. [5]), e.g., the proper definition of separable and entangled states formulated by Werner [6], the Peres [7] criterion that all separable states necessarily have a positive partial transpose, which is further shown to be also a sufficient condition for separability in 2×2 and 2×3 systems [8, 9].

The multiparty entangled states have been investigated recently [10, 11]. These states are also of importance in quantum information processing, for instance, three party entanglement of the GHZ type can allow for interesting applications such as quantum secret sharing [12], and many experimental groups have tried to generate such states [13]. However less is known about how to characterize multiparty entanglements completely. The maximum connectedness, persistency [14] and Schmidt measure [15] have been used in partly describing entanglements of pure multiparty states. There exists no general criterion that allows one to distinguish whether a general mixed state is separable or not. In [16] the separability and entanglement in $2 \times 2 \times N$ composite quantum systems have been studied.

In this paper, we study sufficient and necessary conditions for separability of higher-dimensional quantum systems on $H \otimes H \otimes \ldots \otimes H$, which generalize the results in [17]. In particular, we consider density matrices with rank two. The separability condition for these kind of mixed states in arbitrary dimensions is explicitly given. In addition, we present a nonseparability inequality valid in the case where one of the eigenvectors corresponding to nonzero eigenvalues of a density matrix is maximally entangled.

We first consider the case of three N-dimensional quantum spaces. Let H be an N-dimensional complex Hilbert space, with e_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, an orthonormal basis. A general pure state on $H \otimes H \otimes H$ is of the form

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N} a_{ijk} e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_k, \quad a_{ijk} \in \mathbb{C} \tag{1}$$

with the normalization $\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N} a_{ijk} a_{ijk}^* = 1$ (* denoting complex conjugation).

Let U denote a unitary transformation on the Hilbert space H, such that

$$U e_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_{ij} e_j, \quad b_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$$

and $\sum_{j=1}^{N} b_{ij} b_{kj}^* = \delta_{ik}$ (with δ_{ik} the usual Kronecker's symbol). We call a quantity an invariant associated with the state $|\Psi\rangle$ if it is invariant under all local unitary transformations of $U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes U_3$. By generalizing the results of analysis on invariants for qubits [18], the following quantities are invariants [19] under local unitary transformations:

$$\begin{array}{ll} I_0 = \displaystyle\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N} a_{ijk} a_{ijk}^*, & I_1 = \displaystyle\sum_{i,j,k,p,q,m=1}^{N} a_{ijk} a_{ijm}^* a_{pqm} a_{pqk}^*, \\ I_2 = \displaystyle\sum_{i,j,k,p,q,m=1}^{N} a_{ikj} a_{imj}^* a_{pmq} a_{pkq}^*, & I_3 = \displaystyle\sum_{i,j,k,p,q,m=1}^{N} a_{kij} a_{mij}^* a_{mpq} a_{kpq}^*. \end{array}$$

A generalized concurrence is defined by [19],

$$\begin{aligned} C_N^3 &= \sqrt{\frac{N}{3(N-1)}(3I_0^2 - I_1 - I_2 - I_3)} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{N}{6(N-1)} \sum (|a_{ijk}a_{pqm} - a_{ijm}a_{pqk}|^2 + |a_{ijk}a_{pqm} - a_{iqk}a_{pjm}|^2 + |a_{ijk}a_{pqm} - a_{pjk}a_{iqm}|^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

First, we prove the following result:

Lemma 1. $C_N^3 = 0$ if and only if $|\Psi\rangle$ is separable.

Proof. It is clear that $C_N^3 = 0$ when $|\Psi\rangle$ is factorizable, i.e., when

$$a_{ijk} = a_i b_j c_k, \quad \text{for some } a_i, b_j, c_k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Conversely, because $|\Psi\rangle \neq 0$, there exists p_0, q_0, m_0 such that $a_{p_0 q_0 m_0} \neq 0$. Hence from $|a_{ijk}a_{pqm} - a_{ijm}a_{pqk}| = 0$ we have $a_{ijk} = a_{ij} b_k$, for some $a_{ij}, b_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Further we get $a_{ijk} = a'_i b'_j c'_k$, $a'_i, b'_j, c'_k \in \mathbb{C}$. $\square$

Let ρ be a rank two state on $H \otimes H \otimes H$, with $|E_1\rangle, |E_2\rangle$ being its two orthonormal eigenvectors corresponding to the two nonzero eigenvalues:

$$\rho = p|E_1\rangle\langle E_1| + q|E_2\rangle\langle E_2|, \tag{3}$$

where $q = 1 - p \in (0, 1)$. Generally

$$|E_{s_1}\rangle = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N} a_{ijk}^{s_1} e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_k, \quad a_{ijk}^{s_1} \in \mathbb{C},$$

with normalization $\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N} a_{ijk}^{s_1}(a_{ijk}^{s_1})^* = 1, s_1 = 1, 2$.

Using Lemma 1, we have that $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{N} a_{ijk} e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_k$ is separable if and only if $C_N^3 = 0$, i.e.,

$$a_{ijk}a_{pqm} = a_{ijm}a_{pqk}, \;\; a_{ijk}a_{pqm} = a_{iqk}a_{pjm}, \;\; a_{ijk}a_{pqm} = a_{pjk}a_{iqm}, \;\; \forall i, j, k, p, q, m. \tag{4}$$

We adopt the notation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_1^I = a_{ijk}^2 a_{pqm}^2 - a_{ijm}^2 a_{pqk}^2, \;\; \alpha_2^I = a_{ijk}^2 a_{pqm}^2 - a_{iqk}^2 a_{pjm}^2, \;\; \alpha_3^I = a_{ijk}^2 a_{pqm}^2 - a_{pjk}^2 a_{iqm}^2, \\
&\beta_1^I = a_{ijk}^2 a_{pqm}^1 + a_{ijk}^1 a_{pqm}^2 - a_{ijm}^2 a_{pqk}^1 - a_{ijm}^1 a_{pqk}^2, \\
&\beta_2^I = a_{ijk}^2 a_{pqm}^1 + a_{ijk}^1 a_{pqm}^2 - a_{iqk}^2 a_{pjm}^1 - a_{iqk}^1 a_{pjm}^2, \\
&\beta_3^I = a_{ijk}^2 a_{pqm}^1 + a_{ijk}^1 a_{pqm}^2 - a_{pjk}^2 a_{iqm}^1 - a_{pjk}^1 a_{iqm}^2, \\
&\gamma_1^I = a_{ijk}^1 a_{pqm}^1 - a_{ijm}^1 a_{pqk}^1, \;\; \gamma_2^I = a_{ijk}^1 a_{pqm}^1 - a_{iqk}^1 a_{pjm}^1, \;\; \gamma_3^I = a_{ijk}^1 a_{pqm}^1 - a_{pjk}^1 a_{iqm}^1.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $I = \{i, j, k, p, q, m\}, \; \forall i, j, k, p, q, m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$.

A vector of the form $|E_1\rangle + \lambda|E_2\rangle, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, is separable if and only if λ is a common root of the following equation set Eq_s^I :

$$\alpha_s^I \lambda^2 + \beta_s^I \lambda + \gamma_s^I = 0. \tag{6}$$

where $s = 1, 2, 3$, and $I = \{i, j, k, p, q, m\}, \; \forall i, j, k, p, q, m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$.

Lemma 2. If $|E_2\rangle$ is not separable, then ρ is separable if and only if (6) have two distinct roots.

Proof. Suppose that $\rho = \sum_{t=1}^{l} p_t' |U_t\rangle\langle U_t|$, with l some positive integer and $0 < p_t' < 1, \; \sum p_t' = 1, \; |U_t\rangle$ being separable, $\forall t$. We can write them as linear combinations of the two eigenvectors$|E_1\rangle$ and$|E_2\rangle$ which span the range of ρ : $|U_t\rangle = c_1^t|E_1\rangle + c_2^t|E_2\rangle$ (for some $c_1^t, c_2^t \in \mathbb{C}$). As $|U_t\rangle \neq 0, c_1^t, c_2^t$ can not be all 0. Without losing generality, let $c_1^t \neq 0$. $|U_t\rangle$ is then of the form $|E_1\rangle + \lambda_t|E_2\rangle, \lambda_t = c_2^t/c_1^t$. From Lemma 1 $|U_t\rangle$ is separable if and only if the parameter λ_t is a common root of the corresponding equation set (6), $\alpha_s^I \lambda_t^2 + \beta_s^I \lambda_t + \gamma_s^I = 0$.

Because $|E_2\rangle$ is not separable, not all $\lambda_t's$ can be equal, otherwise all the $U_t's$ would be constant multiples of a fixed vector, and ρ would be rank 1. On the other hand, as $|E_2\rangle$ is not separable, then C_N^3 is not zero. Hence there is some I_0, s_0 such that $\alpha_{s_0}^{I_0} \neq 0$, i.e., the relation Eq_s^I is indeed a quadratic equation. It must have exactly two roots, and so there are two values that are the only possible choices for the $\lambda_t's$. But in order that there is not only one possible choice, the above two roots must be different. And all the relations Eq_s^I have these two different roots.

Let μ_1, μ_2 be two distinct roots, which are common to all of the equations Eq_s^I. Each vector $|U_t\rangle$ is either of the form $|E_1'\rangle = (|E_1\rangle + \mu_1|E_2\rangle)/\sqrt{1 + |\mu_1|^2}$, or of the form $|E_2'\rangle = (|E_1\rangle + \mu_2|E_2\rangle)/\sqrt{1 + |\mu_2|^2}$.

Therefore we can write ρ as $\rho = p'|E_1'\rangle\langle E_1'|+(1-p')|E_2'\rangle\langle E_2'|$ with $0 < p' < 1$. Comparing the coefficients of $|E_k\rangle\langle E_l|, k, l = 1, 2$, with the ones in the expression (3), we get that the following two relations:

$$\frac{p'}{1+|\mu_1|^2} + \frac{1-p'}{1+|\mu_2|^2} = p \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\mu_1 p'}{1+|\mu_1|^2} + \frac{\mu_2(1-p')}{1+|\mu_2|^2} = 0 \tag{8}$$

Solving for p and p' we get

$$p = (1 - \mu_1\mu_2\frac{\bar{z}}{z})^{-1}, \;\; p' = \frac{\mu_2(1+|\mu_1|^2)}{z - \mu_1\mu_2\bar{z}}, \;\; \text{where } z = \mu_2 - \mu_1. \tag{9}$$

Conversely, let μ_1, μ_2 be two distinct roots, which are common to all of the equations Eq_s^I. From above discussion we have $\rho = p'|E_1'\rangle\langle E_1'| + (1-p')|E_2'\rangle\langle E_2'|$, i.e., ρ is separable. □

We first deal with the case where $a_{ijk}^{s_1}$ are all real. The following conclusion is easily verified.

Lemma 3. For a quadratic equation $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, a \neq 0$, and roots α, β with $\alpha \neq \beta$, $\gamma = (\bar{\alpha} - \bar{\beta})/(\alpha - \beta)$ is either 1 or -1.

Theorem 1. If all $a_{ijk}^{s_1}$ are real, ρ is separable if and only if one of the following quantities($\triangle_1$ or $\triangle_2$) is zero:

$$\triangle_1 = \sum |\gamma_s^I - (1-p^{-1})\alpha_s^I|^2 + \sum |\beta_s^I\alpha_{s'}^{I'} - \alpha_s^I\beta_{s'}^{I'}|^2, \tag{10}$$

$$\triangle_2 = \sum |\gamma_s^I + (1-p^{-1})\alpha_s^I|^2 + \sum |\beta_s^I|^2, \tag{11}$$

or, equivalently, one of the following two sets of relations (12) or (13) hold:

$$\gamma_s^I = (1-p^{-1})\alpha_s^I, \;\; \beta_s^I\alpha_{s'}^{I'} = \alpha_s^I\beta_{s'}^{I'} \tag{12}$$

$$\gamma_s^I = -(1-p^{-1})\alpha_s^I, \;\; \beta_s^I = 0 \tag{13}$$

where $s, s' = 1, 2, 3$, and $I, I' = \{i, j, k, p, q, m\}, \; \forall i, j, k, p, q, m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$.

Proof. We prove the necessity part of the theorem in two cases:

Case 1. *a*). $|E_2\rangle$ is not separable. We get that (6) have two distinct roots from Lemma 2. These two roots are the solutions to all the relations Eq_s^I. Consider for any $s = 1, 2, 3, \; I = \{i, j, k, p, q, m\}, \; \forall i, j, k, p, q, m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$,

1) if $\alpha_s^I \neq 0$, the corresponding relation (6) is not an identity. All the quadratic equations in the set Eq_s^I have the same two distinct roots. From the standard theory of quadratic equations, we have

$$\beta_s^I\alpha_{s_0}^{I_0} = \beta_{s_0}^{I_0}\alpha_s^I, \tag{14}$$

$$\gamma_s^I\alpha_{s_0}^{I_0} = \gamma_{s_0}^{I_0}\alpha_s^I. \tag{15}$$

2) if $\alpha_s^I = 0$, then the equations Eq_s^I become identities, i.e., β_s^I and γ_s^I must be 0 too, because otherwise at least one of the relations Eq_s^I would be a linear equation, and there would be no two distinct roots. Thus in this case (12), (13) also hold.

b). Because all $a_{ijk}^{s_1}$ are real number, μ_1 and μ_2 are roots of a quadratic equation with real coefficients. From Lemma 3, $\mu_1\mu_2 = 1-p^{-1}$ or $-(1-p^{-1})$. Since $\mu_1\mu_2$ is real, the solution for p' in (9) implies that $\mu_2/(\mu_2-\mu_1)$ is real, which is possible if and only if either the roots are both real or the roots are both purely imaginary. In the first case, let $\mu_2 > \mu_1$, we have $\mu_1\mu_2 = 1-p^{-1}$. From (9), we get the condition that $p' \in [0,1]$, which is equivalent to $\mu_2 > 0, \mu_1 < 0$. In the second case, we have $\mu_1\mu_2 = -(1-p^{-1})$. The condition for having purely imaginary roots of quadratic equations gives that $\beta_s^I = 0, \forall I,\ \forall s$.

c). Finally, we observe that $\mu_1\mu_2$ is nothing but the ratio $\gamma_{s_0}^{I_0}/\alpha_{s_0}^{I_0}$, which is either $1-p^{-1}$ or $-(1-p^{-1})$. Therefore we conclude that either $\gamma_s^I = (1-p^{-1})\alpha_s^I$ or $\gamma_s^I = -(1-p^{-1})\alpha_s^I$ for any I and $s = 1,2,3$. Relation (10) is verified.

Case 2. $|E_2\rangle$ is separable. In this case from (4), we have $\alpha_s^I = 0, \forall I, \forall s$. Since not all of the $|U_t\rangle$ can be multiples of $|E_2\rangle$, we must have at least one choice of λ such that $|E_1\rangle + \lambda|E_2\rangle$ is separable. This must be a common root to all equations Eq_s^I as before. All these equations are now linear ones. When all $\beta_s^I = \gamma_s^I = 0$, it is easy to see that $|E_1\rangle$ is separable. Excluding this case, we see that there is only one possible choice of λ. Then ρ can be expressed as

$$\rho = p''|E_2\rangle\langle E_2| + (1-p'')\frac{|E_1+\lambda E_2\rangle\langle E_1+\lambda E_2|}{1+|\lambda|^2}.$$

That is $p'' = 1$, which is a contradiction. Thus, if $|E_2\rangle$ is separable, $|E_1\rangle$ must be separable too. It is clear that in this case (10) and (11) hold.

Now we prove the sufficiency part for the theorem. If (10) or (11) holds, it is clear the equations Eq_s^I have common roots. If $|E_2\rangle$ is not separable, then not all of these equations are identities. And there are at most two common roots. If (10) holds, the product of the two roots must be $1-p^{-1} < 0$, so that the two roots are real and unequal. If (11) holds, the two roots must be purely imaginary. So in these two cases, we get that ρ is separable in terms of Lemma 2. If $|E_2\rangle$ is separable, from (10) or (11) we know $|E_1\rangle$ is separable too and ρ is separable. □

Generalizing the results in Theorem 1, we have, for the complex $a_{ijk}^{s_1}$,

Theorem 2. ρ is separable if and only if there is $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\gamma_s^I = e^{i\theta}(1-p^{-1})\alpha_s^I, \;\; \beta_s^I\alpha_{s'}^{I'} = \alpha_s^I\beta_{s'}^{I'} \tag{16}$$

where $s, s' = 1,2,3$, and $I, I' = \{i,j,k,p,q,m\}$, $\forall i,j,k,p,q,m \in \{1,2,\ldots,N\}$, and

$$\frac{\mu_2(1+|\mu_1|^2)}{z-\mu_1\mu_2\bar{z}} \in [0,1], \tag{17}$$

where $z = e^{i\theta}\bar{z}, z = \mu_2-\mu_1 \neq 0$, μ_1 and μ_2 are the roots of the equation $\alpha_s^I\lambda^2 + \beta_s^I\lambda + \gamma_s^I = 0$ for some I and s such that $\alpha_s^I \neq 0$.

Proof. The proof of necessity is similar to the proof of the corresponding part in Theorem 1. One only needs to note that since $z/\bar{z}$ is of modulus 1, a phase factor $e^{i\theta}$ appears in this case.

Now if (14) holds, it is clear that the equations Eq_s^I have common roots. If $|E_2\rangle$ is not separable, then some of the α_s^I are nonzero. The corresponding equations Eq_s^I have exactly two roots which are different by condition (15). Therefore ρ is separable from Lemma 2. If $|E_2\rangle$ is separable, by (14) we know that all γ_s^I are 0. Hence both $|E_2\rangle$ and $|E_1\rangle$ are separable, and so is ρ. $\Box$

Corollary. Let $|E_2\rangle$ be the maximally entangled vector given by $|E_2\rangle = (1/\sqrt{N})\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i \otimes e_i \otimes e_i$. For any vector $|E_1\rangle$ which is orthogonal to $|E_2\rangle$, $\rho = p|E_1\rangle\langle E_1| + (1-p)|E_2\rangle\langle E_2|$ is not separable for $0 < p < 1/2$.

Proof. Let

$$C_{(1)} = \sqrt{\frac{N}{6(N-1)}\sum_{I,s}|\gamma_s^I|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{(2)} = \sqrt{\frac{N}{6(N-1)}\sum_{I,s}|\alpha_s^I|^2}$$

be the generalized concurrences associated with the states $|E_1\rangle$ and $|E_2\rangle$, respectively, where $s = 1, 2, 3,\ I = \{i, j, k, p, q, m\},\ \forall i, j, k, p, q, m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$.

Suppose ρ is separable. From the necessary condition for separability, $\gamma_s^I = e^{i\theta}(1-p^{-1})\alpha_s^I$, we get $C_{(1)} = \frac{1-p}{p}C_{(2)}$. As $|E_2\rangle$ is maximally entangled, $C_{(2)} \neq 0$, and $C_{(1)}/C_{(2)} = \frac{1-p}{p} \leq 1$, so we have $p \geq 1/2$, which is a contradiction. $\Box$

The above approach can be extended to the case of multiquantum systems. We consider now the separability of $|\Psi_M\rangle$ on M N-dimensional quantum systems, where

$$|\Psi_M\rangle = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_M = 1}^{N} a_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_M} e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_M}, \quad a_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_M} \in \mathbb{C} \tag{18}$$

with $\sum a_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_M} a^*_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_M} = 1$. We have a quadratic $I_0 = \sum a_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_M} a^*_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_M}$ and $d = 2^{M-1} - 1$ biquadratic invariants:

$$I_{TS} = \sum a_{TS} a^*_{TS'} a_{T'S'} a^*_{T'S}$$

where T and T' are all possible nontrivial subset of $I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_M\}, I' = \{\tilde{i_1}, \tilde{i_2}, \ldots, \tilde{i_M}\}$, respectively, $\forall i_k, \tilde{i_k} = 1, 2, \cdots, N,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ (i.e., $T \neq \phi$ and $T \neq I$), $S = I \backslash T, S' = I' \backslash T'$.

T and T' are subindices of a, associated with the same position. A generalized concurrence can be defined by

$$C_N^M = \sqrt{\frac{N}{d(N-1)}(dI_0^2 - I_1 - I_2 - \cdots - I_d)} = \sqrt{\frac{N}{4d(N-1)}\sum_p |a_{TS}a_{T'S'} - a_{TS'}a_{T'S}|^2} \tag{19}$$

where $\sum_p$ stands for the summation over all possible combination of the indices of T and S. Similar to Lemma 1, one can prove:

Lemma 4. $C_N^M = 0$ if and only if $|\Psi_M\rangle$ is separable.

Let ρ be a rank two state on $H \otimes H \otimes \cdots \otimes H$, with $|E_1\rangle, |E_2\rangle$ being its two orthonormal eigenvectors corresponding to the two nonzero eigenvalues:

$$\rho = p|E_1\rangle\langle E_1| + q|E_2\rangle\langle E_2|, \tag{20}$$

where $q = 1 - p \in (0, 1)$. Generally

$$|E_{s_1}\rangle = \sum_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_M = 1}^{N} a_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_M}^{s_1} e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_M}, \;\; a_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_M}^{s_1} \in \mathbb{C},$$

with normalization $\sum a_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_M}^{s_1} (a_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_M}^{s_1})^* = 1, s_1 = 1, 2$.

Using Lemma 4, we have $|\Psi_M\rangle$ is separable if and only if

$$a_{TS} a_{T'S'} = a_{TS'} a_{T'S}, \tag{21}$$

where T and T' are all possible nontrivial subset of $I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_M\}, I' = \{\tilde{i_1}, \tilde{i_2}, \ldots, \tilde{i_M}\}$, respectively, $\forall i_k, \tilde{i_k} = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ (i.e., $T \neq \phi$ and $T \neq I$), $S = I \backslash T, S' = I' \backslash T'$.

With the notations:

$$\alpha_{TS}^{T'S'} = a_{TS}^2 a_{T'S'}^2 - a_{TS'}^2 a_{T'S}^2, \;\; \gamma_{TS}^{T'S'} = a_{TS}^1 a_{T'S'}^1 - a_{TS'}^1 a_{T'S}^1,$$
$$\beta_{TS}^{T'S'} = a_{TS}^2 a_{T'S'}^1 + a_{TS}^1 a_{T'S'}^2 - a_{TS'}^2 a_{T'S}^1 - a_{TS'}^1 a_{T'S}^2,$$

we have that a vector of the form $|E_1\rangle + \lambda|E_2\rangle, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, is separable if and only if λ is a common root of the following equation set:

$$Eq_{TS}^{T'S'} \; : \; \alpha_{TS}^{T'S'} \lambda^2 + \beta_{TS}^{T'S'} \lambda + \gamma_{TS}^{T'S'} = 0. \tag{22}$$

Similar to the case $M = 3$, one has:

Lemma 5. ρ is separable if and only if (20) have two distinct roots.

From Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 it is straightforward to prove the following conclusion:

Theorem 3. If all $a_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_M}^{s_1}$ are real, ρ is separable if and only if one of the following quantities ($\triangle_1$ or $\triangle_2$) is zero:

$$\triangle_1 = \sum |\gamma_{TS}^{T'S'} - (1 - p^{-1})\alpha_{TS}^{T'S'}|^2 + \sum |\beta_{TS}^{T'S'} \alpha_{T_1S_1}^{T_1'S_1'} - \alpha_{TS}^{T'S'} \beta_{T_1S_1}^{T_1'S_1'}|^2, \tag{23}$$

$$\triangle_2 = \sum |\gamma_{TS}^{T'S'} + (1 - p^{-1})\alpha_{TS}^{T'S'}|^2 + \sum |\beta_{TS}^{T'S'}|^2, \tag{24}$$

or, equivalently, one of the following two sets of relations (23) or (24) hold:

$$\gamma_{TS}^{T'S'} = (1 - p^{-1})\alpha_{TS}^{T'S'}, \;\; \beta_{TS}^{T'S'} \alpha_{T_1S_1}^{T_1'S_1'} = \alpha_{TS}^{T'S'} \beta_{T_1S_1}^{T_1'S_1'} \tag{25}$$

$$\gamma_{TS}^{T'S'} = -(1 - p^{-1})\alpha_{TS}^{T'S'}, \;\; \beta_{TS}^{T'S'} = 0 \tag{26}$$

where T and T' are all possible nontrivial subset of $I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_M\}, I' = \{\tilde{i_1}, \tilde{i_2}, \ldots, \tilde{i_M}\}$, respectively, $\forall i_k, \tilde{i_k} = 1, 2, \ldots, N,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ (i.e., $T \neq \phi$ and $T \neq I$), $S = I \backslash T, S' = I' \backslash T'$, T_1 and T_1' are all possible nontrivial subset of $J = \{j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_M\}, J' = \{\tilde{j_1}, \tilde{j_2}, \ldots, \tilde{j_M}\}$, respectively, $\forall j_k, \tilde{j_k} = 1, 2, \ldots, N,\ k = 1, 2, \ldots, M$ (i.e., $T_1 \neq \phi$ and $T_1 \neq J$), $S_1 = J \backslash T_1, S_1' = J_1' \backslash T_1'$.

Extending Theorem 3 to general complex coefficients $a_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_M}^{s_1}$, we have

Theorem 4. ρ is separable if and only if there is $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\gamma_{TS}^{T'S'} = e^{i\theta}(1 - p^{-1})\alpha_{TS}^{T'S'},\ \ \beta_{TS}^{T'S'}\alpha_{T_1 S_1}^{T_1' S_1'} = \alpha_{TS}^{T'S'}\beta_{T_1 S_1}^{T_1' S_1'} \tag{27}$$

$$\frac{\mu_2(1 + |\mu_1|^2)}{z - \mu_1 \mu_2 \bar{z}} \in [0, 1]. \tag{28}$$

where $T, T', S, S', T_1, T_1', S_1, S_1'$ are defined as in Theorem 3, $z = e^{i\theta}\bar{z}, z = \mu_2 - \mu_1 \neq 0$, μ_1 and μ_2 are the roots of the equation $\alpha_{TS}^{T'S'}\lambda^2 + \beta_{TS}^{T'S'}\lambda + \gamma_{TS}^{T'S'} = 0$ for some T, S, T', S' such that $\alpha_{TS}^{T'S'} \neq 0$.

For a given rank two density matrix on $H \otimes H \otimes \cdots \otimes H$, to find its separability one only needs to calculate the two eigenvectors $|E_1\rangle, |E_2\rangle$ corresponding to the two nonzero eigenvalues and check if formula (25) is satisfied or not.

Corollary. Let $|E_2\rangle$ be the maximally entangled vector given by $|E_2\rangle = (1/\sqrt{N})\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i \otimes e_i \otimes \cdots \otimes e_i$. For any vector $|E_1\rangle$ which is orthogonal to $|E_2\rangle$, $\rho = p|E_1\rangle\langle E_1| + (1-p)|E_2\rangle\langle E_2|$ is not separable for $0 < p < 1/2$.

We have studied the sufficient and necessary conditions for separability of rank two mixed states in higher-dimensional quantum systems on $H \otimes H \otimes \ldots \otimes H$. The separability condition for these kind of mixed states in arbitrary dimensions is explicitly given. A nonseparability inequality is also given for the case where one of the eigenvectors corresponding to nonzero eigenvalues of a density matrix is maximally entangled. The results can be generalized to the case of rank two mixed states on $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes H_M$, where H_i, $i = 1, ..., M$, may have different dimensions.

Acknowledgement The work is supported by the NSF of China (No.19975061) and the National Key Project for Basic Research of China (G1998030601).

References

[1] A. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991).

[2] C. H. Bennett and S. J. Wiesner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2881 (1992).

[3] C. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993).

[4] A. Peres, “Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods”, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1995).

[5] see M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki and R. Horodecki in “Quantum Information - Basic Concepts and Experiments”, Eds. G. Alber and M. Weiner, (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
M. Lewenstein, D. Bruß, J. I. Cirac, B. Kraus, M. Kuś, J. Samsonowicz, A. Sanpera, and R. Tarrach, J. Mod. Phys. **47**, 2481 (2000).

[6] R. Werner, Phys. Rev. A**40**, 4277 (1989).

[7] A. Peres Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1413 (1996).

[8] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A **223**, 8 (1996).

[9] P. Horodecki Phys. Lett. A **232**, 333 (1997).

[10] D. Bouwmeester, A. Ekert, and A. Zeilinger (Eds.), “The Physics of Quantum Information”, (Springer, Heidelberg, 2000), chapter 6 and references therein.

[11] W. Dür, G. Vidal and J.I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 062314(2000).
V. Coffman, J. Kundu ans W. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 052306(2000).
W. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 052302(2001).

[12] W. Tittel, H. Zbinden and N. Gisin, *Quantum secret sharing using pseudo-GHZ states*, quant-ph/9912035.

[13] A. Zeilinger, M. A. Horne, H. Weinfurter, and M. Żukowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3031 (1997).
D. Bouwmeester, J-W. Pan, M. Daniell, H. Weinfurter, and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1345 (1999).
A. Sørensen and K. Mølmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1971(1999).
C. A. Sackett, D. Kielpinski, B. E. King, C. Langer, V. Meyer, C. J. Myatt, M. Rowe, Q. A. Turchette, W. M. Itano, D. J. Wineland, and C. Monroe, Nature **404**, 256 (2000).
D. Kielpinski, A. Ben–Kish, J. Britton, V. Meyer, M.A. Rowe, C. A. Sackett, W. M. Itano, C. Monroe, and D. J. Wineland, *Recent Results in Trapped-Ion Quantum Computing*, quant-ph/0102086.

[14] H.J. Briegel and R. Raussendorf, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 910(2001).

[15] J. Eisert and H.J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 022306(2001).

[16] S. Karnas and M. Lewenstein, *Separability and entanglement in* $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^N$ *composite quantum systems*, quant-ph/0102115.

[17] Albeverio S., Fei S. M. and Goswami, D., Separability of rank two quantum states, Phys. Lett. A 286(2001)91-96.

see also P. Horodecki, J.A. Smolin, B.M. Terhal and A.V. Thapliyal, *Rank Two Bipartite Bound Entangled States Do Not Exist*, quant-ph/9910122.

P. Horodecki, M. Lewenstein, G. Vidal and I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 032310 (2000).

[18] N. Linden and P. Popescu, Fortsch. Phys. **46**, 567 (1998).

[19] Albeverio S., Fei S. M., J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 3, 223(2001).